arXiv:1406.7404v1 [math.AG] 28 Jun 2014

A BOUND FOR CASTELNUOVO-MUMFORD REGULARITY BY DOUBLE POINT DIVISORS

SIJONG KWAK AND JINHYUNG PARK

Abstract. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a non-degenerate smooth projective variety of degree d and codimension e. As motivated by the regularity conjecture due to Eisenbud and Goto, we first show that $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{O}_X) \leq d - e$, and we classify the extremal and the next extremal cases. Then, we generalize Mumford's method based on the geometric properties of double point divisors, and we prove $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq d - 1 + m$, where m is an invariant related to geometric sections of double point divisor from outer projection. By considering double point divisors from inner projection, we obtain a slightly better bound for Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity under suitable assumptions.

Introduction

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a non-degenerate smooth projective variety of dimension n, codimension e, and degree d, and let H be a general hyperplane section. Throughout the paper, we work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. One way to study $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is to take general projections. If we project X to $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, then we obtain $\pi\colon X \to \overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ a birational surjective morphism onto a hypersurface $\overline{X}$ of degree d. The non-isomorphic locus D_π of π gives rise to an effective divisor linearly equivalent to

$$D_{out} := -K_X + (d - n - 2)H,$$

which is called a *double point divisor from outer projection*. We can further project $\overline{X}$ to $\mathbb{P}^n$ so that we get a finite surjective morphism $f\colon X \to \mathbb{P}^n$. The ramified locus R_f of f becomes an effective divisor linearly equivalent to $K_X + (n+1)H$.



Date: July 1, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14N05, 13D02, 14N25; Secondary 51N35.

Key words and phrases. Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, double point divisor, projection, scroll, vanishing theorem.

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIP) (No. 2013042157), and Jinhyung Park was also partially supported by TJ Park Ph.D Scholarship.

The geometric properties of these divisors were extensively used by Mumford in [BM] to show $\mathrm{reg}(X) \leq (n+1)(d-2)+2$. The crucial points are that D_{out} is base point free and the divisor $D_\pi + R_f$ ($\sim (d-1)H$) is cut out by a hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^r$.

Recall that $\mathrm{reg}(X) \leq m$ if and only if $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is $(m-1)$-normal, and $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(m-1)$-regular. It is an interesting problem initiated by Castelnuovo, Mumford, etc. finding explicit bound for $\mathrm{reg}(X)$. A well-known conjecture concerning the bound for $\mathrm{reg}(X)$ is known as the regularity conjecture (cf. [GLP] and [EG]).

Regularity Conjecture. $\mathrm{reg}(X) \leq d-e+1$.

This conjecture was verified when $\dim(X)=1$ by [GLP], when $\dim(X)=2$ by [P] and [L1]. Slightly weaker results for lower dimensional cases ($\dim(X)=3,4,5$ etc.) was obtained by Kwak in [K1] and [K2].

Mumford's argument in [BM] is of special interest to us because he separately computed normality of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ and regularity of $\mathcal{O}_X$ while other authors in [GLP], [L1], [K1], [K2], etc. control $\mathrm{reg}(X)$ at once. On the other hand, Noma recently studied positivity properties of double point divisors from general inner projection ([N3]). Consider an inner projection $\pi\colon X \dashrightarrow \overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ centered at a $(e-1)$-general points on X. Note that $\deg(\overline{X}) = d-e+1$. Similarly as in the outer projection case, we obtain a *double point divisor from inner projection*

$$D_{inn} := -K_X + (d-n-e-1)H$$

unless X is a scroll over a smooth projective curve, a second Veronese surface, or a Roth variety. By showing D_{inn} is semiample except those cases, Noma obtained a sharp bound for regularity of $\mathcal{O}_X$ except when X is a scroll over a curve.

Along this line, we show a sharp bound for scroll case, and thus, we finally obtain a sharp bound for Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of the structure sheaf in view of the regularity conjecture. Moreover, we classify the extremal cases.

Theorem A. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a non-degenerate smooth projective variety of degree d and codimension e. Then, $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-e)$-regular. Furthermore, $\mathcal{O}_X$ fails to be $(d-e-1)$-regular if and only if X is a hypersurface, a variety of minimal degree $(d=e+1)$, or a linearly normal variety of almost minimal degree $(d=e+2)$.*

Theorem A can be rephrased as $\mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{O}_X) \leq \Delta(X,H)+1$ for a given polarized pair (X,H). We note that the delta genus $\Delta(X,H) := H^n + n - h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(H))$ is an intrinsic invariant.

Varieties of minimal and almost minimal degree were completely classified (see Remark 2.8). It turns out that a variety whose structure sheaf fails to be $(d-e-1)$-regular is projectively normal. We can also classify the next extremal cases (Theorem 2.9).

By Theorem A, the remaining problem on the regularity conjecture is to find a bound for normality. We extend Mumford's method (see Lemma 3.1) to give a new bound for normality. Let V be a subspace of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}))$ spanned by geometric sections, which mean global sections whose zero loci are non-isomorphic loci of some generic outer projections, and let c_k be the codimension of the image of the map $V \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(k)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}+kH))$ for any integer $k \geq 0$. We define

$$m := \min\{c_k + k \mid -2K_X + (d-2n-3+k)H \text{ is nef}\}.$$

Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem B. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a non-degenerate smooth projective variety of degree d. Then,* $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq d-1+m$.

If $(d-2n-3)H-2K_X$ is nef, then $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq d-1+c_0$. Unfortunately, $c_0 \neq 0$ (and hence, $m \neq 0$) in general (Proposition 3.7). We note that $-2K_X+(d-2n-3+k)H$ is nef for any $k \geq d-1$ (Proposition 3.5) and $c_k = 0$ for any $k \geq n(d-3)+1$ (Proposition 3.10). Thus, we obtain $m \leq n(d-3)+1$, and hence, we get a slightly better bound $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq (n+1)(d-3)+3$ than Mumford's one.

Finally, by considering double point divisors from inner projection and a generalized Mumford's lemma for inner projection (Lemma 4.1), we get a slightly better bound for Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity under suitable assumptions. Let V' be a subspace of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_{inn}))$ spanned by geometric sections of double point divisors from inner projection, and let c'_k be the codimension of the image of the map $V' \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(k)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{inn}+kH))$ for any integer $k \geq 0$. We define

$$m' := \min\{c'_k + k \mid -2K_X + (d-2n-e-2+k)H \text{ is nef}\}.$$

Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem C. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a non-degenerate smooth projective variety of degree d and codimension e. Suppose that X is not a scroll over a smooth projective curve or a Roth variety and V' is base point free. Then,* $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq d-e+m'$.

We point out that if the conditions of Theorem C are fulfilled and $m' \leq 1$, then we obtain the conjectural bound for $\operatorname{reg}(X)$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. We start in Section 1 by recalling basic facts on double point divisors and introducing Ein-Lazarsfeld vanishing theorem. In Section 2, we show Theorem A. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to proving Theorems B and C.

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect basic facts on Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, double point divisors, and we introduce Ein-Lazarsfeld vanishing theorem.

1.1. **Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity.** A coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X is *m-regular* if $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(m-i)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. By Mumford's regularity theorem ([L2, Theorem 1.8.5]), if $\mathcal{F}$ is m-regular, then $\mathcal{F}$ is $(m+1)$-regular. We say that an embedded projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is *m-regular* if the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_X$ on $\mathbb{P}^r$ is m-regular. It is equivalent to that

(1) $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is $(m-1)$-normal, i.e., the natural map
$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m-1)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m-1))$$
is surjective, and
(2) $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(m-1)$-regular.

The *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity* of X, denoted by $\operatorname{reg}(X)$, is the least integer m such that X is m-regular.

1.2. **Double point divisors from outer projection.** In this subsection, we review basic properties of double point divisors from outer projections. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a non-degenerate smooth projective variety of dimension n, codimension e, and degree d, and let H be a general hyperplane section. Consider an outer projection $\pi_\Lambda \colon X \to \overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ from an $(e-2)$-dimensional general linear subspace $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^r$

with $\Lambda \cap X = \emptyset$. By the birational double point formula (see e.g., [L3, Lemma 10.2.8]), the non-isomorphic locus of π_Λ defines an effective divisor $D(\Lambda)$ linearly equivalent to the *double point divisor from outer projection*

$$D_{out} := -K_X + (d-n-2)H.$$

The global section $s(\Lambda) \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}))$ with $\mathrm{div}(s(\Lambda)) = D_{out}(\Lambda)$ is called a *geometric section*, and the the effective divisor $D_{out}(\Lambda)$ is called a *geometric double point divisor from outer projection*.

Let V be the linear subspace in $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}))$ spanned by geometric sections. By varying the centers of projections, Mumford proved the following (see [BM, Technical appendix 4]).

Proposition 1.1 (Mumford)**.** *V is a base point free subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}))$.*

Proof. Here, we briefly recall Mumford's proof for reader's convenience. For a given point $x \in X$, we can choose an $(e-2)$-dimensional general linear subspace $\Lambda_x \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ such that $\Lambda_x \cap \{T_x(X) \cap \mathrm{Cone}(x, X)\} = \emptyset$. Then $\pi_{\Lambda_x} \colon X \to \overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is birational and isomorphic at x. Thus, the non-isomorphic locus $D(\Lambda_x)$ does not contain x so that V is base point free. □

1.3. **Double point divisors from inner projections.** In this subsection, we briefly review Noma's work on double point divisors from inner projections ([N3]). Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a non-degenerate smooth projective variety of dimension n, codimension e, and degree d, and let H be a general hyperplane section. First, we need the following definition (see [N3] for more detail).

Definition 1.2. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ $(1 \leq m \leq e-1)$ be general points of X, and define

$$E_{x_1,\ldots,x_m} := \overline{\{z \in X \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \mid \dim \langle x_1, \ldots, x_m, z\rangle \cap X = 1\}}.$$

X satisfies property (E_m) if $\dim E_{x_1,\ldots,x_m} = n-1$ for general points $x_1, \ldots, x_m$.

Note that if $m = e-1$ and X satisfying property (E_{e-1}), then a general inner projection $\pi_\Lambda \colon X \dashrightarrow \overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ from the center $\Lambda = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{e-1}\rangle$ has always exceptional divisors, where $x_1, \ldots, x_{e-1}$ are general points of X ([N3, Lemma 1.3]). Noma classified projective varieties satisfying property (E_{e-1}).

Theorem 1.3 ([N3, Theorem 3])**.** *If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ satisfies the property (E_{e-1}), then X is either a scroll over a smooth projective curve or the Veronese surface in $\mathbb{P}^5$.*

Now, suppose that X does not satisfy property (E_{e-1}). Let $x_1, \ldots, x_{e-1}$ be general points of X, and let $\Lambda := \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{e-1}\rangle$ be their linear span. Note that $\Lambda \cap X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{e-1}\}$ by the general position lemma (see e.g., [N3, Lemma 1.2]). Consider the inner projection from the center Λ and the blow-up $\sigma \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ at $x_1, \ldots, x_{e-1}$.



Note that $\deg(\overline{X}) = d - e + 1$. Since X does not satisfy property (E_{e-1}) by the assumption, the birational morphism $\widetilde{\pi}$ has no exceptional divisor. Therefore,

there is an effective divisor $\widetilde{D}(\Lambda)$ on $\widetilde{X}$ by the birational double point formula ([L3, Lemma 10.2.8]) such that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(\widetilde{D}(\Lambda)) \simeq \widetilde{\pi}^*(\omega_X^{\circ}) \otimes {\omega_{\widetilde{X}}}^{-1}.$$

We define an effective divisor $D_{inn}(\Lambda) := \overline{\sigma(\widetilde{D}(\Lambda))|_{\widetilde{X}\setminus E_1\cup\cdots\cup E_{e-1}}}$ on X, where $E_1, \ldots, E_{e-1}$ are exceptional divisors of σ. Then, the effective divisor $D_{inn}(\Lambda)$ is linearly equivalent to the *double point divisor from inner projection*

$$D_{inn} = -K_X + (d-n-e-1)H.$$

The global section $s(\Lambda) \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{inn}))$ with $\mathrm{div}(s(\Lambda)) = D_{inn}(\Lambda)$ is called a *geometric section*, and the the effective divisor $D_{inn}(\Lambda)$ is called a *geometric double point divisor from outer projection.*

Let V' be the linear subspace in $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D'_{inn}))$ spanned by geometric sections. By varying the centers of projections, Noma proved in [N3, Theorem 1] that the base locus $\mathrm{Bs}(|V'|)$ of V' lies in the set of non-birational centers of simple inner projections, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Bs}(|V'|) \subset \mathcal{C}(X) := \{u \in X \mid l(X \cap \langle u, x\rangle) \geq 3 \text{ for general } x \in X\}.$$

Theorem 1.4 ([N2, Corollary 6.2])**.** *If $\dim \mathcal{C}(X) \geq 1$, then X is a Roth variety.*

Here, we recall Ilic's construction of Roth varieties ([Il, Theorem 3.7]). For more detail, we refer to [Il, Section 3]. Let $S := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_{n-1}))$ be a rational scroll for all $a_i \geq 1$ with the projection $\pi_1 \colon S \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Consider the birational morphism $\pi_2 \colon S \to \overline{S} \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ given by the complete linear system $|\mathcal{O}_S(1)|$ of the tautological line bundle. For any integer $b \geq 1$, take a smooth variety $\widetilde{X} \in |\mathcal{O}_S(b) \otimes \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|$ such that $\pi_2|_{\widetilde{X}} \colon \widetilde{X} \to X := \pi_2(\widetilde{X})$ is an isomorphism. Then, we obtain a non-degenerate linearly normal projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$. The isomorphic projection of X is called a *Roth variety.*

By varying the centers of projections as in Proposition 1.1, Noma proved the following.

Theorem 1.5 ([N3, Theorem 4])**.** *Suppose that X is not a scroll over a smooth projective curve, the second Veronese surface in $\mathbb{P}^5$, or a Roth variety. Then, the double point divisor D_{inn} from inner projection is semiample.*

When X is the second Veronese surface in $\mathbb{P}^5$, we can easily check that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d-e-i)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. When X is a Roth variety, we have the following.

Proposition 1.6. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a non-degenerate Roth variety of codimension e and degree $d = be+1$ for some integer $b \geq 1$. Then, $\mathcal{O}_X$ is b-regular. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-e)$-regular.*

Proof. By [Il, Theorem 3.14], $H^i(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that X is a divisor in $|\mathcal{O}_S(b) \otimes \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|$, where $S = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_{n-1}))$ is a rational scroll for all $a_i \geq 1$ with the projection $\pi_1 \colon S \to \mathbb{P}^1$ (see [Il, Theorem 3.7]). We have $K_X = (b-n-1)H + (e-1)F$. Thus, we obtain

$$h^n(\mathcal{O}_X(b-n)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - (b-n)H)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-H + (e-1)F)),$$

where F is a divisor on X obtained by the restriction of a fiber of $\pi_1 \colon S \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_S(-b-1) \otimes \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(e) \to \mathcal{O}_S(-1) \otimes \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(e-1) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-H + (e-1)F) \to 0.$$

Since $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(-1)\otimes\pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(e-1)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-b-1)\otimes\pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(e)) = 0$, we get $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-H+(e-1)F)) = 0$ from the above exact sequence. Thus, $\mathcal{O}_X$ is b-regular. The final assertion follows from that $b \le d-e = be-e+1$. □

Now, by applying Kodaira vanishing theorem, we can obtain the following.

Corollary 1.7 ([N3, Corollary 5]). *$\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-e)$-regular unless X is a scroll over a curve.*

1.4. **Ein-Lazarsfeld vanishing theorem.** Now, we introduce Ein-Lazarsfeld vanishing theorem which will be useful to prove Theorems B and C. Let L be a globally generated line bundle on a smooth projective variety X, and $W \subset H^0(X,L)$ be a base point free subspace. Then there is a canonical surjective evaluation homomorphism $e_W\colon W\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \to L$. Define $M_W := \operatorname{Ker}(e_W)$, so that we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \to M_W \to W\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \to L \to 0.$$

We denote $M_L = M_W$ when $W = H^0(X,L)$.

Let B and C are nef line bundles on X. Given integers f and g, we write

$$L_f = K_X + fH + B \text{ and } N_g = K_X + gH + C.$$

Denote by $\wedge^q M_{L_f}$ the q-th wedge product of M_{L_f}.

Theorem 1.8 ([EL, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 3.1]). *Assume that $(X,L) \neq (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$. Then, we have*

$$H^i(\wedge^q M_{L_f}\otimes N_g) = 0 \quad \textit{for} \quad i\ge 1,\ f \ge n+1 \quad \textit{and} \quad g \ge n+q-i.$$

In fact, for the q-fold tensor power $M_{L_f}^{\otimes q}$, Ein and Lazarsfeld proved in the proof of [EL, Proposition 3.1] that $H^i(M_{L_f}^{\otimes q}\otimes N_g) = 0$ for $i \ge 1$ provided that $f \ge n+1$ and $g \ge n+q-i$. Since we are in characteristic zero, we have an inclusion $\iota\colon \wedge^q M_{L_f} \hookrightarrow M_{L_f}^{\bigotimes q}$ such that the composition with the natural surjection $M_{L_f}^{\bigotimes q} \to \wedge^q M_{L_f}$ is the identity. This means that $\wedge^q M_{L_f}$ is a direct summand of $M_{L_f}^{\bigotimes q}$, and therefore, the cohomology vanishing for the q-th wedge product of M_{L_f} also holds true.

2. A sharp Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of the structure sheaf

In this section, we prove Theorem A. To show $(d-e)$-regularity of the structure sheaf of a projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ of degree d and codimension e, we can assume that X is linearly normal. Indeed, if X is not linearly normal, then it is obtained by an isomorphic projection from a projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+e+1}$ of degree d and codimension $e+1$. Now, if we prove that $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-e-1)$-regular for $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+e+1}$, then $(d-e)$-regularity of $\mathcal{O}_X$ immediately follows.

2.1. **Curve case.** First, we consider the curve case. For this purpose, we recall the following classical result.

Theorem 2.1 (Castelnuovo). *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a projective curve of degree d and genus g. Then, we have*

$$g \le \binom{m}{2}(r-1) + m\epsilon = \frac{m(d+\epsilon-r)}{2} = \frac{(d-1-\epsilon)(d+\epsilon-r)}{2(r-1)},$$

where $m = \lfloor \frac{d-1}{r-1} \rfloor$ *and* $\epsilon = d - m(r-1) - 1$. *If the equality holds, then* C *is projectively normal.*

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $C \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ *be a non-degenerate smooth projective curve of degree* d *and codimension* e. *Then,* $\mathcal{O}_C$ *is* $(d-e)$*-regular. Furthermore,* $\mathcal{O}_C$ *fails to be* $(d-e)$*-regular if and only if* C *is a plane curve, a rational normal curve, or an elliptic normal curve.*

Proof. Denote by g the genus of C. If $d \leq e+2$, then C is a rational curve or an elliptic normal curve. In this case, it is easy to verify the assertions. Now, suppose that $d \geq e+3$. We can also assume that $e \geq 2$. We claim that $2g-2 < (d-e-2)d$, which implies the remaining assertions. To prove the claim, by applying Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$2g - 2 \leq \frac{d^2 - (e+2)d + (\epsilon+1)(e+1-\epsilon)}{e} - 2.$$

It is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{d^2 - (e+2)d + (\epsilon+1)(e+1-\epsilon)}{e} - 2 < (d-e-2)d,$$

which is equivalent to that

$$(\epsilon+1)(e+1-\epsilon) < (e-1)(d-e-2)d + 2e. \tag{2.1}$$

If $e = 2$, then $(\epsilon+1)(e+1-\epsilon) \leq 4 < (d-4)d+4$, i.e., we get (2.1). If $e \geq 3$, then

$$(\epsilon+1)(e+1-\epsilon) \leq e(e+1) \leq (e-1)(e+3) \leq (e-1)d < (e-1)(d-e-2)d + 2e,$$

i.e., we get (2.1). We are done. Alternatively, we can show the whole assertion by applying [GLP, Theorem 2.1]. □

2.2. **Scroll case.** Now, we consider the scroll case. For convenience, we assume throughout this section that the dimension of a scroll is at least two. Let E be a vector bundle over a smooth projective curve of genus g. We define

$$\mu^-(E) := \min\left\{ \mu(Q) = \frac{\deg Q}{\operatorname{rank} Q} \;\middle|\; Q \text{ is a quotient bundle of } E \right\}.$$

If E is semistable, then $\mu^-(E) = \frac{\deg E}{\operatorname{rank} E}$. If E is not semistable, then there is a semistable quotient bundle Q of E with $\mu^-(E) = \mu(Q) = \frac{\deg Q}{\operatorname{rank} Q}$.

Lemma 2.3 ([B, Lemmas 1.12 and 2.5])**.** *We have the following.*

1. $\mu^-(S^m(E)) = m\mu^-(E)$ *for any integer* $m > 0$.
2. *If* $\mu^-(E) > 2g-2$, *then* $H^1(C, E) = 0$.

Suppose that $\mu^-(E) > 0$. For any line bundle L on C, we have $\mu^-(E \otimes L) = \mu^-(E) + \deg L$ by [B, Lemma 2.5]. If $\deg L > 2g$, then by [B, Lemma 1.12], $E \otimes L$ is very ample and $H^1(C, E \otimes L) = 0$. Thus, for the scroll $X = \mathbb{P}(E \otimes L) \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ of degree d and codimension e, we conclude that $\mathcal{O}_X$ is 2-regular. However, there is a very ample vector bundle E such that $H^1(C, E) \neq 0$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let* $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ *be a non-degenerate scroll of degree* d *and codimension* e *over a smooth projective curve of genus* g. *Suppose that* $n = \dim(X) \geq 2$. *Then, we have the following:*

1. *If* $g = 0$, *then* $\mathcal{O}_X$ *is* 1*-regular.*
2. *If* $g = 1$, *then* $\mathcal{O}_X$ *is* 2*-regular.*

(3) *If $g \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-e-1)$-regular.*

In particular, $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-e)$-regular, and it fails to be $(d-e-1)$-regular if and only if X is a rational normal scroll.

Remark 2.5. If $g = 0$, then $d - e - 1 = 0$ if and only if X is linearly normal. If $g = 1$, then $d \geq r + 1$ so that $d - e - 1 \geq n \geq 2$. Thus, the 'in particular' part immediately follows from the previous assertions. If $g \geq 2$, then $d \geq r+3$ (cf. [KP, Theorem B]) so that $d - e - 1 \geq n + 2 \geq 4$.

Proof. Let $X = \mathbb{P}(E) \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a non-degenerate scroll of dimension n, codimension e, and degree d, where E is a very ample vector bundle on a smooth projective curve C of genus g. We have the natural projection $\pi\colon \mathbb{P}(E) \to C$ with a general fiber F. We denote by H the tautological divisor of $\mathbb{P}(E)$ (i.e., $\mathcal{O}_X(H) = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$). We may assume that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is linearly normal. Note that $H^i(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) = H^i(C, S^m(E)) = 0$ for $i > 1$ and $m > -n$. Thus, we only have to check the vanishing for H^1.

If $g = 0$, then we can easily check that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, which shows (1). If $g = 1$, then $\mu^-(E) > 0$ due to the very ampleness and $2g - 2 = 0$. By Lemma 2.3, $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) = H^1(C, E) = 0$, which verifies (2).

From now on, we prove (3). Assume that $g \geq 2$. It suffices to show $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(d - e - 2)) = H^1(S^{d-e-2}(E)) = 0$. By Lemma 2.3, we only have to show that $(d - e - 2)\mu^-(E) = \mu^-(S^{d-e-2}(E)) > 2g - 2$.

We consider the embedding $C \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ given by $|\det E|$. The main theorem of [IT] says that $h^0(C, \det E) \geq h^0(C, E)+n-2$, i.e., $N \geq r+n-2$. Consider a generic inner projection from $C \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ to $\overline{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. Then, we have $\overline{d} := \deg(\overline{C}) = d - N + n + 1$ so that $\overline{d} \leq d - e + 1$. By Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\frac{(d-e-\epsilon)(d+\epsilon-e-n)}{n} - 2 \geq \frac{(\overline{d}-1-\epsilon)(\overline{d}+\epsilon-n-1)}{n} - 2 \geq 2g-2, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\epsilon = \overline{d} - \lfloor \frac{\overline{d}-1}{n} \rfloor n - 1$. Note that $0 \leq \epsilon \leq n - 1$.

We divide into two cases: (1) E is semistable and (2) E is not semistable. First, suppose that E is semistable. Then, we have $\mu^-(E) = \mu(E) = \frac{d}{n}$. It is easy to check $\frac{(d-e-2)d}{n} > \frac{(d-e-\epsilon)(d+\epsilon-e-n)}{n}$ according to $\epsilon = 0, 1$, and $\epsilon \geq 2$. Thus, by (2.2), we obtain

$$(d - e - 2)\mu^-(E) = \frac{(d-e-2)d}{n} > 2g - 2$$

as desired.

Finally, suppose that E is not semistable. Then, there is a semistable quotient bundle Q of E with $\mu^-(E) = \mu(Q)$. Note that Q is very ample. Denote by $\deg Q = d'$ and $\operatorname{rank} Q = n'$. Consider a scroll $X' = \mathbb{P}(Q) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n'+e'}$ given by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(Q)}(1)$. We further divide into two cases: (i) $d - e - 2 \geq d' - e' - 2$ and (ii) $d - e - 2 < d' - e' - 2$. First, suppose that we are in Case (i). We proved that $(d' - e' - 2)\mu(Q) > 2g - 2$ since Q is semistable. Thus, we have

$$(d - e - 2)\mu^-(E) \geq (d' - e' - 2)\mu(Q) > 2g - 2,$$

as desired. Now, suppose that we are in Case (ii). We have $d' > d - e + e'$ and $n > n'$, so we obtain

$$(d - e - 2)\mu^-(E) = (d - e - 2)\frac{d'}{n'} > (d - e - 2)\frac{(d - e + e')}{n}.$$

It is straightforward to check $\frac{(d-e-2)(d-e+e')}{n} \geq \frac{(d-e-\epsilon)(d+\epsilon-e-n)}{n} - 2$. Thus, it follows from (2.2) that $(d-e-2)\mu^-(E) > 2g-2$ as desired. We complete the proof. □

Remark 2.6. In fact, if $g \geq 2$, then by the same argument in the proof shows that $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-e-2)$-regular.

2.3. **Extremal case.** We are ready to prove Theorem A. In particular, we classify the extremal case.

Proof of Theorem A. The first assertion follows from Corollary 1.7 and Proposition 2.4. The 'if' part of the second assertion is trivial. For the converse, we assume from now on that $\mathcal{O}_X$ fails to be $(d-e-1)$-regular. We can further assume that X is neither a curve nor a hypersurface. We further assume that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is linearly normal. Recall Theorem 1.5 which says that $D_{inn} = -K_X + (d-n-e-1)H$ is semiample unless X is a second Veronese surface, a Roth variety, or a scroll over a curve, where n is the dimension of X and H is a general hyperplane section. There is nothing to prove when X is a second Veronese surface. If X is a scroll, then X is a rational normal scroll by Proposition 2.4. Now, consider the case that X is a Roth variety. We use the notation in Proposition 1.6. Since $b \leq d-e-1 = be-e$ for $b \geq 2$ and $e \geq 2$, it follows that $b = 1$ if $\mathcal{O}_X$ fails to be $(d-e-1)$-regular. Note that $b = 1$ if and only if X is a rational scroll. Thus, we have verified our assertion for the Roth case.

Now, the only remaining case is that $D_{inn} = -K_X+(d-n-e-1)H$ is semiample. Then, $-K_X + (d-n-e-1)H + (n-i)H = -K_X + (d-e-i-1)H$ is ample for $i < n$. It follows from Kodaira vanishing theorem that $H^i(\mathcal{O}_X(d-e-1-i)) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Since $\mathcal{O}_X$ fails to be $(d-e-1)$-regular, we get $H^n(\mathcal{O}_X(d-e-1-n)) \neq 0$. We now claim that if $H^n(\mathcal{O}_X(d-e-1-n)) \neq 0$, then $H^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y(d-e-1-(n-1))) \neq 0$, where Y is a smooth hyperplane section of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$. Since $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-e)$-regular, we have $H^n(\mathcal{O}_X(d-e-n)) = 0$. Thus, we get a surjective map $H^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y(d-e-1-(n-1))) \to H^n(\mathcal{O}_X(d-e-n-1))$ from the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(d-e-n-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(d-e-n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(d-e-n) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus, the claim follows. For a general curve section $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{e+1}$ of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, we conclude that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(d-e-1) \neq 0$. By Theorem 2.2, C is either a rational normal curve or an elliptic normal curve. If C is rational, then X is a rational normal scroll or a second Veronese surface, which we already excluded. If X is an elliptic normal curve, then $d = e+2$ by Riemann-Roch formula. Thus, X is of almost minimal degree. We complete the proof. □

Remark 2.7. We also have a lower bound $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}_X$ is 0-regular if and only if $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) = (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$, and $\mathcal{O}_X$ is 1-regular if and only if X is a second Veronese surface $v_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ or $\mathbb{P}^5$, a rational scroll, or a quadric hypersurface.

Remark 2.8. Del Pezzo and Bertini showed that a variety of minimal degree is a second Veronese surface $v_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, a rational normal curve, or a rational normal scroll (see e.g., [EH]). Fujita in [F1] and [F2] showed that a linearly normal variety of almost minimal degree is an elliptic normal curve or a del Pezzo variety, which is one of the following:

(1) a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$;
(2) the Plücker embedding of the Grassmannian $Gr(2,5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ or its linear section;
(3) a second Veronese threefold $v_2(\mathbb{P}^3) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$;
(4) a del Pezzo surface embedded by the anticanonical divisor $-K_X$;
(5) the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ or its hyperplane section;
(6) the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^7$;
(7) $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)) \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ embedded by the tautological line bundle.

2.4. **Next extremal case.** By the similar argument in Proof of Theorem A, we can also classify the next extremal cases.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a non-degenerate smooth projective variety of degree d and codimension e. Then, $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-e-1)$-regular but not $(d-e-2)$-regular if and only if X is one of the following:*

(1) *a rational curve or a rational scroll of degree $d = e+2$;*
(2) *a second Veronese surface $v_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^4$;*
(3) *an elliptic curve or a del Pezzo variety of degree $d = e+3$;*
(4) *an elliptic normal scroll of dimension 2;*
(5) *a complete intersection of type $(2,3)$;*
(6) *a linearly normal regular variety of degree $d = e+3$ and sectional genus $g = 2$ (for the classification, see* [Io, Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.4]).

Proof. The 'if' part for (1)-(5) is easy to check. For a variety X from (6), we note that $\mathcal{O}_X$ fails to be 1-regular and $d-e-2 = 1$. Now, we briefly sketch a proof for 'only if' part. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-e-1)$-regular but not $(d-e-2)$-regular. Then, $e \geq 2$. By the same argument in the proof of Proposition 2.2, we can show the assertion for the curve case. We further assume that $\dim(X) \geq 2$. Suppose that X is a scroll over a curve of genus g. Since $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-e-2)$-regular for $g \geq 2$ (see Remark 2.6), we only have to consider the case $g \leq 1$. If $g = 0$, then we get (1). If $g = 1$, then X must be a surface scroll by Proposition 2.4 (2) so that we get (4). From now on, we assume that X is not a scroll. Let Y be a general hyperplane section of X. Then, it is easy to see that if $\mathcal{O}_Y$ fails to be $(d-e-1)$-regular, then $\mathcal{O}_X$ also fails to be $(d-e-1)$-regular. By the assumption, $\mathcal{O}_Y$ is $(d-e-1)$-regular. Furthermore, we can easily show that $H^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y(d-e-2-(n-1))) \neq 0$. By induction, for a general curve section $C \subset X$, we obtain $H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(d-e-3)) \neq 0$. Using Theorem 2.1, we conclude that $d \leq e+3$ or $e = 2, d = 6, g = 4$, where g is the genus of C. For the second case, we get (5). Consider the case that $d \leq e+3$. By Riemann-Roch formula, $g \leq 2$. If $g \leq 1$, then we get (1), (2), (3), and an elliptic normal scroll. If $g = 2$, then $d = e+3$. Since we can easily check that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$, this case corresponds to (6). We are done. □

3. A bound for normality by double point divisor from outer projection

In this section, we prove Theorem B, and we discuss the related issues. Throughout this section, $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is always a non-degenerate smooth projective variety of dimension n, codimension e, and degree d. We denote by H a hyperplane section. Since Theorem B for $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) = (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$ is trivial, we assume that $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \neq (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$. We have the double point divisor from outer projection

$$D_{out} = -K_X + (d-n-2)H.$$

Let V be the subspace in $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}))$ spanned by geometric sections.

3.1. **A generalized Mumford lemma for outer projection.** In this subsection, we prove the following (cf. [BM, Technical appendix 4]).

Lemma 3.1 (A generalized Mumford lemma for outer projections)**.** *If the map*

$$V \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+k)H)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-2+k))$$

is surjective for some integer k, then X is $(d-2+k)$-normal, i.e.

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(d-2+k)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-2+k))$$

is surjective.

Proof. Consider the outer projection $\pi := \pi_\Lambda \colon X \to \overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ centered at a linear subspace $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ and the associated geometric double point divisor $D := D_{out}(\Lambda)$. The image $\overline{D} := \pi(D_{out}(\Lambda))$ is a Weil divisor on $\overline{X}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D & \hookrightarrow & X & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^r \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi|_D} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle \pi_\Lambda} \\ \overline{D} & \hookrightarrow & \overline{X} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \end{array}$$

Note that $\mathcal{I}_{\overline{D}|\overline{X}} = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ is the conductor ideal sheaf of the birational morphism π. Then, we have the following commutative diagram of exact sequences

(3.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-d) & = & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-d) & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cdot|\overline{X}|} & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_{\overline{D}|\mathbb{P}^{n+1}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\overline{D}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(-D) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\overline{D}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

which leads us to the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(\mathcal{I}_{\overline{D}|\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d-2+k)) & \hookrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d-2+k)) & \hookrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(d-2+k)) \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ H^0(\mathcal{O}_X((d-2+k)H - D)) & & \xhookrightarrow{\cdot|D|} & & H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-2+k)) \end{array}$$

for any integer k. Recall that $D \sim -K_X + (d-n-2)H$. By the diagram (3.1), the images of the maps

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+k)H) \xrightarrow{\cdot|D_i|} H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-2+k))$$

for all s_i with $\mathrm{div}(s_i) = D_i$ which form a basis of V are contained in the image of the map $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(d-2+k)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-2+k))$. By the assumption on surjectivity for some integer k, we conclude that X is $(d-1+k)$-normal. □

Remark 3.2. In [BM, Technical appendix 4]), using Koszul complex techniques, Mumford proved that

$$W \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+1+m)H)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-1+m))$$

is surjective for $m \geq n(d-2)$, where $W \subset V$ is the subspace generated by general $n+1$ geometric double point divisors $D^{(1)}, \ldots, D^{(n+1)}$ from outer projections.

Corollary 3.3. *There is a map $V \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+(n+k)H)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(d-2+k))$ for any integer $k \leq 1$.*

Proof. We use the same notation in Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let $s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}))$ be a geometric section with $\mathrm{div}(s) = D_{out}(\Lambda)$. We have

$$s \cdot H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+k)H)) = H^0(\mathcal{I}_{\overline{D}|\overline{X}}(d-2+k)) = H^0(\mathcal{I}_{\overline{D}|\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d-2+k)),$$

and

$$H^0(\mathcal{I}_{\overline{D}|\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d-2+k)) \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d-2+k)) \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(d-2+k))$$

for any $k \leq 1$. Thus, the assertion follows. □

3.2. **A bound for normality.** Recall that c_k is the codimension of the image of the map $V \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(k)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}+kH))$ for any integer $k \geq 0$ and

$$m := \min\{c_k + k \mid -2K_X + (d-2n-3+k)H \text{ is nef}\}.$$

The aim of this subsection is to prove the following theorem, which is a restatement of Theorem B.

Theorem 3.4. *We have the following.*

(1) *X is $(d-2+\ell)$-normal for all $\ell \geq m$.*
(2) *$\mathrm{reg}(X) \leq d-1+m$.*

Proof. There is an integer $k \geq 0$ such that $m = c_k + k$. Let V_{c_k} be the image of the map and consider a filtration $V_{c_k} := V^{c_k} \subset V^{c_k-1} \subset \cdots \subset V^0 = H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out} + kH))$ by subspaces each having codimension one in the next. Note that each V^i is a base point free subspace for $0 \leq i \leq c_k$, and thus, we have exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{V^i} \longrightarrow V^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}+kH) \longrightarrow 0, \text{ and}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{V^{i+1}} \longrightarrow M_{V^i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0.$$

We first show that $V^{c_k} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+c)H)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-2+k+c))$ is surjective for all $c \geq c_k$. It suffices to establish

$$H^1(M_{V^{c_k}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+c)H)) = 0 \text{ for all } c \geq c_k. \tag{3.2}$$

To see this, note that $D_{out} + kH = K_X + (n+1)H - 2K_X + (d-2n-3+k)H$ and $-2K_X + (d-2n-3+k)H$ is assumed to be nef. By Theorem 1.8, we have

$$H^j(\wedge^{c_k+j} M_{V^0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + nH + cH)) = 0 \text{ for all } j \geq 1,\ c \geq c_k.$$

Consider the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \wedge^p M_{V^{i+1}} \longrightarrow \wedge^p M_{V^i} \longrightarrow \wedge^{p-1} M_{V^{i+1}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Twisting by $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+nH+cH)$ and taking cohomology sequence, it is easy to check that

$$H^j(\wedge^{c_1+j}M_{V^1}\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+nH+cH))=0 \text{ for all } j\geq 1,\ c\geq c_k,\ c-1\geq c_1\geq 0.$$

By an induction on i and similar arguments, we can also show that

$$H^j(\wedge^{c_i+j}M_{V^i}\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+nH+cH))=0 \text{ for all } j\geq 1,\ c\geq c_k,\ c-i\geq c_i\geq 0.$$

In particular, we get the desired cohomology vanishing (3.2).

Now, consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V\otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(k))\otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+(n+c)H)) & \to & V\otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+(n+c+k)H)) \\ \twoheadrightarrow & & \downarrow \\ V^{c_k}\otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+(n+c)H)) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-2+c+k)) \end{array}$$

for $c\geq c_k$. By the surjectivity of the bottom row, which we already proved in the above, we conclude that the right-most vertical map is also surjective. Since $c+k\geq m$, the assertion (1) follows from Lemma 3.1. Together with Theorem A, we can derive (2). □

3.3. **Nefness condition.** In this subsection, we discuss the nefness of the divisor $-2K_X+(d-2n-3+k)H$ in the definition of m. We first show the following.

Proposition 3.5. *$-2K_X+(d-2n-3+k)H$ is nef for $k\geq d-1$.*

Proof. We write $-2K_X+(d-2n-3+k)H=2D_{out}+(k-d+1)H$. Since D_{out} is base point free by Proposition 1.1, the assertion follows. □

Now, we further argue that $-2K_X+(d-2n-3)H$ is nef in many cases.

Remark 3.6. For a given ample divisor A on a smooth projective variety X, let m be the least integer such that mA is very ample. The degree of the embedded projective variety $X\subset\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(mA))$ is m^nA^n, and the double point divisor from outer projection is

$$-K_X+(m^nA^n-n-2)mA,$$

which is nef by Proposition 1.1. Then, there is an integer ℓ_0 with $m\leq\ell_0\leq 2m$ such that $-2K_X+(\ell^nA^n-2n-3)\ell A$ is nef for any very ample divisor for any integer $\ell\geq\ell_0$. Indeed, for an embedding $X\subset\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\ell A))$, we have

$$-2K_X+(\ell^nA^n-2n-3)\ell A=2\{(m^nA^n-n-2)mA-K_X\}+\delta(\ell)A,$$

where $\delta(\ell)=(\ell^nA^n-2n-3)\ell-2(m^nA^n-n-2)m$. The function $\delta(\ell)$ of ℓ is positive for all $\ell\geq\ell_0$ for some ℓ_0 with $m\leq\ell_0\leq 2m$. In particular, for any very ample divisor H, the divisor $-2K_X+(\ell^nH^n-2n-3)\ell H$ is nef for $\ell\geq 2$. Hence, we conclude that $(d-2n-3)H-2K_X$ is nef for a sufficiently positive very ample divisor.

3.4. **Geometric sections.** In viewpoint of the regularity conjecture, the central problem is to control the codimension c_k. First, we approximately estimate $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}))$ when H is sufficiently positive. We have $D_{out}^n\approx d^nH^n=d^{n+1}$ so that by asymptotic Riemann-Roch formula ([L2, Example 1.2.19]), we obtain

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}))\approx\frac{d^{n+1}}{n!}.$$

However, we expect that c_0 is very small.

Now, we show that $c_0 \neq 0$ (and hence, $m \neq 0$) in general. Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n and degree d, and H be a very ample divisor on X so that we have an embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}^M = \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(H)))$. Let V^M be the linear subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}))$ spanned by geometric sections of double point divisors from $X \subset \mathbb{P}^M$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be obtained by an isomorphic projection from $X \subset \mathbb{P}^M$. Let V^N be the linear subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}))$ spanned by geometric sections of double point divisors from $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, and c_0^N be its codimension.

Proposition 3.7. *Suppose that $N < M - n - 2$. Then, we have $V^N \subsetneq V^M$. In particular, $c_0^N \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since every geometric double point divisor of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is also a geometric double point divisor of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^M$, it follows that $V^N \subset V^M$. Let $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_M]$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}[x_{M-N}, \cdots, x_M]$) be the homogenous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^M$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}^N$). Possibly by taking linear transformations of $\mathbb{P}^M$ (and $\mathbb{P}^N$), we may assume that there is a general linear subspace $\Lambda \in \mathbb{P}^M$ such that $\pi_\Lambda \colon X \to \mathbb{P}^{n+1} = \operatorname{Proj} \mathbb{C}[x_0, \cdots, x_{n+1}]$ is a general projection. Thus, we obtain a geometric section $s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}))$ with $\operatorname{div}(s) = D_{out}(\Lambda)$. The assertion immediately follows from the next claim.

Claim 3.8. $s \notin V^N$.

We prove the claim. By Corollary 3.3, we have a map $V^M \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+1)H)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^M}(d-1))$, and $s \cdot H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+1)H)) \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d-1))$. On the other hand, we have $V^N \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+1)H)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d-1)) \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^M}(d-1))$. Note that $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d-1)) \cap H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d-1)) = 0$ in $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^M}(d-1))$ by the assumption. Thus, the claim follows. □

Remark 3.9. Even if $c_0 \neq 0$, it is possible that the map $V \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+1)H)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-1))$ is surjective.

Next, we prove the following.

Proposition 3.10. *We have $c_k = 0$ for $k \geq n(d-3)+1$.*

Proof. Let $W \subset V$ be a subspace generated by $n+1$ general geometric sections so that W is base point free. Then, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_W \longrightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D_{out}) \longrightarrow 0$$

and its the dual exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D_{out}) \longrightarrow W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow M_W^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then, we obtain the following short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-D_{out}) \otimes \wedge^{n-i} M_W^* \to \wedge^{n-i+1} W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to \wedge^{n-i+1} M_W^* \to 0$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-e)$-regular by Theorem A, it follows from the above exact sequence that

(3.3)

$$H^i(\mathcal{O}_X(-iD_{out}+kH) \otimes \wedge^{n-i} M_W^*) = H^{i-1}(\mathcal{O}_X(-(i-1)D_{out}+kH) \otimes \wedge^{n-(i-1)} M_W^*)$$

for $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $k \geq d-e-1$. Note that $K_X + nH$ is base point free by our assumption that $(X, \mathcal{O}(1)) \neq (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$ (see [E]). Since

$$-nD_{out} + kH = K_X + (n-1)(K_X + nH) + (k - nd - 3n)H,$$

by Kodaira vanishing theorem, we obtain $H^n(\mathcal{O}_X(-nD_{out} + kH)) = 0$ for $k \geq d(n-3)+1$. Note that $M_W = \wedge^{n-1} M_W^* \otimes \det M_W = \wedge^{n-1} M_W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D_{out})$. By

(3.3), we get $H^1(M_W(k)) = 0$ for $k \geq n(d-3)+1$. Thus, the map $W \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(k)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{out} + kH))$ is surjective, i.e., $c_k = 0$ for $k \geq n(d-3)+1$. □

The following is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.10 and Theorem B.

Corollary 3.11. *$m \leq n(d-3)+1$ and $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq (n+1)(d-3)+3$.*

We close this section by posing the following problem.

Problem 3.12. (1) *Give a sharp bound for m.*
(2) *Find a class of varieties such that m is relatively very small to d.*

4. A bound for normality by double point divisor from inner projection

This section is parallel to Section 3, since our cohomological method can be directly generalized to the inner projection case. The main purpose is to prove Theorem C. Throughout this section, $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is a non-degenerate smooth projective variety of dimension n, codimension e, and degree d. We denote by H a hyperplane section. As in Section 3, we assume $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \neq (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$. Moreover, we also assume that X does not satisfy property (E_{e-1}) and $\dim \mathcal{C}(X) = 0$. Then, we can define the double point divisor from inner projection

$$D_{inn} = -K_X + (d-n-e-1)H.$$

Let V' be the linear subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{inn}))$ spanned by geometric sections. We further assume that V' is base point free. These assumptions are crucial in proving Theorem C. Since $\operatorname{Bs}(|V'|) \subset \mathcal{C}(X)$, if $\mathcal{C}(X) = \emptyset$, then V' is base point free. See [N1, Corollary 3] for an example with $\mathcal{C}(X) = \emptyset$.

4.1. A generalized Mumford lemma for inner projection.

In this subsection, we prove the following, which is a counterpart of Lemma 3.1).

Lemma 4.1 (A generalized Mumford lemma for inner projections)**.** *If the map*

$$V' \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+k)H)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-e-1+k))$$

is surjective for some integer k, then X is $(d-e-1+k)$-normal, i.e.

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(d-e-1+k)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-e-1+k))$$

is surjective.

Proof. Consider a generic inner projection $\pi := \pi_\Lambda \colon X \dashrightarrow \overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ centered at general $(e-1)$ points on X and the blow-up $\sigma \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ at those points. Note that the birational morphism $\widetilde{\pi} := \sigma \circ \pi \colon \widetilde{X} \to \overline{X}$ is a resolution of singularities and has no exceptional divisor. Let $\widetilde{D}$ be the non-isomorphic locus of $\widetilde{\pi}$ so that $\sigma(\widetilde{D}) = D_{inn}(\Lambda)$. The image $\overline{D} := \widetilde{\pi}(\widetilde{D})$ is a Weil divisor on $\overline{X}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\widetilde{D} & \hookrightarrow & \widetilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^r \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{\pi}|_{\widetilde{D}}} & & & \searrow^{\widetilde{\pi}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_\Lambda} \\
\overline{D} & & \hookrightarrow & & \overline{X} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{n+1}
\end{array}$$

Note that $\mathcal{I}_{\overline{D}|\overline{X}} = \widetilde{\pi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-\widetilde{D})$ is the conductor ideal sheaf of $\widetilde{\pi}$. We have the same commutative diagram to (3.1) of exact sequences by replacing $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(-D))$ with

$\widetilde{\pi}_*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-\widetilde{D})$. Since $D \sim -K_X + (d-e-n-1)H$, by the projection formula, we have

$$\sigma_*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}((n+k)\sigma^*H + K_{\widetilde{X}}) = \mathcal{O}_X((n+k)H + K_X) = \mathcal{O}_X((d-e-1+k)H - D)$$

for any integer k. Then, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^0(\mathcal{I}_{\overline{D}|\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d-e-1+k)) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^0(\widetilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}((d-e-1+k)\sigma^*H - \widetilde{D}))) \\
\downarrow & & \| \\
 & & H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}((k+n)\sigma^*H + K_{\widetilde{X}})) \\
 & & \| \\
H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d-e-1+k)) & & H^0(\sigma_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}((k+n)\sigma^*H + K_{\widetilde{X}}))) \\
\downarrow & & \| \\
 & & H^0(\mathcal{O}_X((d-e-1+k)H - D)) \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cdot|D|} \\
H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(d-e-1+k)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-e-1+k))
\end{array}$$

for any integer k. By the above commutative diagram, the images of the maps

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + (n+1+k)H) \xrightarrow{\cdot|D_i|} H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-e+k))$$

for all s_i with $\operatorname{div}(s_i) = D_i$ which form a basis of V' are contained in the image of the map $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(d-e+k)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-e+k))$. By the assumption on surjectivity for some integer k, we conclude that X is $(d-e+k)$-normal. □

4.2. **A bound for normality.** Recall that c'_k is the codimension of the image of the map $V' \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(k)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D_{inn} + kH))$ for any integer $k \geq 0$ and

$$m' := \min\{c'_k + k \mid -2K_X + (d-2n-e-2+k)H \text{ is nef}\}.$$

By the same argument in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we can prove the following, which implies Theorem C together with [GLP] and [L1].

Theorem 4.2. *We have the following.*

(1) *X is $(d-e-1+\ell)$-normal for all $\ell \geq m'$.*
(2) $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq d-e+m'$.

The other parts in Section 3 similarly hold for the inner projection case. We leave the detail to the readers.

We close this section by posing the following problem.

Problem 4.3. (1) *Give a sharp bound for m'.*
(2) *Find a class of varieties such that V' is base point free and m' is relatively very small to d.*

References

[BM] D. Bayer and D. Mumford, *"What can be computed in algebraic geometry?"* in *Computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra (Cortona, 1991)*, Sympos. Math., XXXIV, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1993), 1-48.

[B] D. C. Butler, *Normal generation of vector bundles over a curve*, J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), 1-34.

[E] L. Ein, *The ramification divisors for branched coverings of* $\mathbb{P}^n_k$, Math. Ann. **261** (1982), 483-485.

[EL] L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld, *Syzygies and Koszul cohomology of smooth projective varieties of arbitrary dimension*, Invent. Math. **111** (1993), 51-67.

[EG] D. Eisenbud and S. Goto, *Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity*, J. Algebra **88** (1984), 89-133.

[EH] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *"On varieties of minimal degree (a centennial account)"* in *Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin (Brunswick, Maine, 1985)*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **46** (1987), Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 3-13.

[F1] T. Fujita, *On the structure of polarized manifolds with total deficiency one I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **32** (1980), 709-725.

[F2] T. Fujita, *On the structure of polarized manifolds with total deficiency one II*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **33** (1981), 415-434.

[GLP] L. Gruson, R. Lazarsfeld and C. Peskine, *On a theorem of Castelnuovo, and the equations defining space curves*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), 491-506.

[Il] B. Ilic, *Geometric properties of the double-point divisor*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 1643-1661.

[Io] P. Ionescu, *Embedded projective varieties of small invariants*, in *Algebraic Geometry (Bucharest 1982)*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1056**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1984), 142-186.

[IT] P. Ionescu and M. Toma, *On very ample vector bundles on curves*, Internat. J. Math. **8** (1997), 633-643.

[K1] S. Kwak, *Castelnuovo regularity for smooth subvarieties of dimensions 3 and 4*. J. Algebraic Geom. **7** (1998), 195-206.

[K2] S. Kwak, *Generic projections, the equations defining projective varieties and Castelnuovo regularity*, Math. Z. **234** (2000), 413-434.

[KP] S. Kwak and J. Park, *Geometric properties of projective manifolds of small degree*, preprint.

[L1] R. Lazarsfeld, *A sharp Castelnuovo bound for smooth surfaces*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 423-429.

[L2] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry I. Classical Setting: line bundles and linear series*, A Series of Modern Surveys in Math. **48**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2004).

[L3] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry II. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals*, A Series of Modern Surveys in Math. **49**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2004).

[N1] A. Noma, *Hypersurfaces cutting out a projective variety*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 4481-4495.

[N2] A. Noma, *Projective varieties with nonbirational linear projections and applications*, preprint.

[N3] A. Noma, *Generic inner projections of projective varieties and an application to the positivity of double point divisors*, preprint.

[P] H. Pinkham, *A Castelnuovo bound for smooth surfaces*, Invent. Math. **83** (1986), 321-332.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST, Daejeon, Korea
E-mail address: sjkwak@kaist.ac.kr

Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST, Daejeon, Korea
E-mail address: parkjh13@kaist.ac.kr